

Alameda County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

Addendum to Request for Proposal

Construction Management Services

November 21, 2014

Questions and Answers at both Networking/RFP Conferences:

- Q.: Does the prime consultant have to be either Local or Certified SLEB in order to receive the preference points in Evaluation Criteria F.2.e.? Can a partnership with a Local or SLEB subconsultant be deemed eligible for the preference points?
- A.: The prime consultant must be Local and/or Certified SLEB at the time of submittal of the response to the RFP in order to receive the respective preference points in the ranking of the Proposers.
- Q.: Is there a page limit on the proposal?
- A.: No.
- Q.: Are there currently any incumbents performing this contract?
- A.: No.
- Q.: Does the County currently have a Lab for Materials Testing?
- A.: Yes. The District is seeking Materials Testing services to augment District resources.
- Q.: Does the County use a specific Construction Management software?
- A.: No, but we are considering getting one.
- Q.: Does the proposal include survey?
- A.: No.
- Q.: Who will be the point of contact from the Flood Control District?
- A.: Stanley Fung, Bill Lepere and Chris Grimm.
- Q.: Who will handle the progress payments to the contractor?
- A.: The Construction Management firm will prepare the progress payment and the District will process that payment.
- Q.: Will the consultant need to provide Resident Engineering services to County Inspector?
- A.: The project will be assigned to each consultant to perform RE, inspection and materials testing services from pre-construction to project acceptance.

- Q.: Is the rating on the first or second round?
- A.: The responses to the RFP will be ranked according to the rating criteria in the RFP and this addendum. The top six (6) Proposers will be invited to an oral interview with the District.
- Q.: Do the County need help with environmental monitoring?
- A.: Environmental monitoring, if it is included in the plans and specifications, would be part of the inspection services.
- Q.: Do the County need help with post project monitoring?
- A.: No. Post project monitoring will be handled by a separate consultant.
- Q.: Will there be project that are within Cal Trans ROW?
- A.: Yes, there is a possibility.
- Q.: Do any adjacent bike trails need to be temporary relocated as part of the project?
- A.: The project plans and specifications will address this issue when necessary.
- Q.: Who is doing the project outreach to the community?
- A.: The District will do outreach before the project advertisement and then during the project the Resident Engineer will be required to answer any questions from homeowners.
- Q.: How is the District going to balance the work between the two firms?
- A.: The District will evaluate the expertise needed for the job and assign accordingly.
- Q.: Does the District have a SLEB listing on its website?
- A.: Yes.
- Q.: What is the approximate amount of construction dollars for these projects?
- A.: These projects add up to roughly 30 Million.
- Q.: What is the value of these projects?
- A.: Anywhere from \$300,000 to 5 Million.
- Q.: Are the projects designed in house or by consultants?
- A.: Most project are designed in house with consultant support in specific areas.
- Q.: Are Pre-Construction services needed? (Review Plans & Specifications, Value Engineering)
- A.: No, only construction management and inspection of the project.
- Q.: Should Design Engineers be included in the proposal?

A.: No.

Q.: How is the SLEB percentage going to be calculated for On-call?

A.: The prime consultant will be required to meet the percentage that was committed to.

Q.: Will there be any mixing of County Staff and Consultant Staff?

A.: No.

Q.: Any mitigation requirements for when the project is accepted by the District?

A.: All mitigation requirements in the project plans and specifications will need to be completed and accepted by the District before transferring the responsibility to the mitigation monitoring consultant.

Announcement: The score sheet will be revised to reflect a total of 100 points in this Addendum. The SLEB and local preference points will remain at 10%.

Alameda County Flood Control & Water Conservation District
Construction Management Services – Networking/RFP Conference
Attendance at November 4, 2014 Meeting

No.	Company	Contact	Phone #	SLEB
1	Dabri, Inc.	Ajay Singh	510-406-7159	Y
2	S&C Engineers	Mike Chan	510-774-6119	Y
3	KKCS	Bob Deliso	415-342-2221	Y
4	Harris & Associates	Vern Philips	925-852-1966	N
5	Park Engineering	Steve Patterson	510-701-0319	N
6	Dal Technology, Inc.	Bryant Fields	510-273-2425	Y
7	Anchor Engineering	Brian Danley	925-407-5188	Y
8	Bellecci & Associates	Nate Sistros	925-681-4885	Y
9	Hatch Mott MacDonald	Ray Akkawi	925-398-7281	N
10	VSCE	Jesus Vargas	510-835-5001	Y
11	Cal Engineering & Geology	Phil Gregory	925-935-9771	Y
12	Hanna Group	Nick Panayotou	415-717-9101	N
13	Kleinfelder	Mark Fuhriman	510-628-9000	N
14	PMA Consultants	John Mahoney	925-784-3972	N
15	Clearwater Hydrology	William Vancovcoe	510-845-5836	Y

Alameda County Flood Control & Water Conservation District
Construction Management Services – Networking/RFP Conference
Attendance at November 12, 2014 Meeting

No.	Company	Contact	Phone #	SLEB
1	URS/AECOM	Greg Ow	415-281-2618	N
2	West Yost	John Bergen	925-699-5008	N
3	Signet Testing	M. Merer/R. Prayarh	510-887-8484	Y
4	4Leaf	Patrick Northcutt	925-462-5959	N
5	Vali Cooper & Associates	Randy Chek	510-446-8301	N
6	Ghirardelli Associates	Bill Davis	510-772-9092	Y
7	Summit Associates	Kit Guest	208-908-3498	Y
8	Consolidated CM	David Jaworski	510-208-1720	Y
9	Cal Engineering & Geology	Phil Gregory	925-935-9771	Y
10	MNS Engineers	D. Pike/W. Nowotney	805-692-6921	N
11	CSG Consultants	Frank Navarro	925-575-0417	
12	Pakpour Consulting Group	Joubin Pakpour	925-224-7717	Y
13	Aerotek	Ashley Royer	510-214-1661	Y

Score Sheet

Section	Evaluation Criteria	Points (0 - 5)	Weight (multiplier)	Weighted Score
F.1.	<p><u>Completeness of Response</u></p> <p>Responses to this RFP must be complete. Responses that do not include the proposal content requirements identified within this RFP and subsequent Addenda and do not address each of the items listed below will be considered incomplete, be rated a Fail in the Evaluation Criteria and will receive no further consideration.</p> <p>To be considered complete, Proposers must have attended one of the two Networking/Proposers Conferences, and include the complete and accurate documentation identified herein that they are certified small and local or emerging and local business or are partnering, joint venturing or subcontracting with small and local or emerging and local business(es) that are certified at the time of response submittal. Responses that are rated a Fail and are not considered may be picked up at the delivery location within 14 calendar days of contract award and/or the completion of the competitive process.</p>	Pass/Fail	N/A	N/A
F.2.a. F.2.a.1	<p><u>Organization & Approach</u></p> <p><u>Roles and Organization of Proposed Team</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Proposes adequate and appropriate disciplines of project team. ▪ Some or all of team members (firms) have previously worked together on similar project(s). ▪ Overall organization of the team is relevant to District needs. 	_____	2	(10 pts. max.) _____

Section	Evaluation Criteria	Points (0 - 5)	Weight (multiplier)	Weighted Score
F.2.a.2	<p><u>Project and Management Approach</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Team is managed by an individual with appropriate experience in similar projects. This person’s time is appropriately committed to the project. ▪ Team structure provides adequate capability to perform both volume and quality of needed work within project schedule milestones. 			
F.2.a.3	<p><u>Roles of Key Individuals on the Team</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Proposed team members, as demonstrated by enclosed resumes, have relevant experience for their role in the project. ▪ Key positions required to execute the project team’s responsibilities are appropriately staffed. 			(25 pts. max.)
F.2.a.4	<p><u>Working Relationship with District</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Team and its leaders have experience working in the public sector and knowledge of public sector procurement process. ▪ Team leadership understands the nature of public sector work and its decision-making process. ▪ Proposal responds to need to assist District during the project. 	_____	5	_____

Section	Evaluation Criteria	Points (0 - 5)	Weight (multiplier)	Weighted Score
F.2.b.	<u>Litigation History</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Litigation history, if any, is described. ▪ If judgment(s) against Proposer, appropriate explanation provided. 	_____	1	(5 pts. max.) _____
F.2.d.	<u>References</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Reference(s) for the lead firm on similar projects are provided. 	_____	2	(10 pts. max.) _____
SMALL LOCAL EMERGING BUSINESS PREFERENCE				
F.2.e.	Local Preference: Points equaling five percent (5%) of bidder's total score, for the above Evaluation Criteria, will be added. This will be the bidder's final score for purposes of award evaluation.	_____	1	(5 pts .max.) _____
F.2.e.	Certified Small and Local or Emerging and Local Preference: Points equaling five percent (5%) of bidders total score, for the above Evaluation Criteria, will be added. This will be the bidder's final score for purposes of award evaluation.	_____	1	(5 pts. max.) _____

Section	Evaluation Criteria	Points (0 - 5)	Weight (multiplier)	Weighted Score
(A)	<p style="text-align: center;">Subtotal Points from Above</p> <p>(The highest 6 Proposers will be invited to interview with District)</p>			<p style="text-align: center;">_____</p> <p>60 pts max.</p>
(B)	<p><u>Oral Presentation and Interview</u></p> <p>Following evaluation of the written proposals, Proposers receiving the six (6) highest scores will be invited to an oral presentation and interview. The scores at that time will not be communicated to Proposers. The oral presentation and question/answers by each Proposer shall not exceed sixty (60) minutes in length. The oral interview will consist of a Proposer's presentation, followed by standard questions asked of each of the Proposers and specific questions regarding the specific proposal. The proposals may then be re-evaluated and re-scored based on the oral presentation and interview.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">_____</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">8</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">_____</p> <p>40 pts max.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Total Points (A) + (B)</p> <p>Final ranking of the highest six (6) Proposers will be based on the Total Points scored on this rating sheet.</p>			<p style="text-align: center;">_____</p> <p>100 pts. max</p>